



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/889,171	09/13/2001	Igor Potucek	66477-015-5	7995

25269 7590 06/17/2004

DYKEMA GOSSETT PLLC
FRANKLIN SQUARE, THIRD FLOOR WEST
1300 I STREET, NW
WASHINGTON, DC 20005

EXAMINER

BUTLER, MICHAEL E

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------

3653

DATE MAILED: 06/17/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Interview Summary

Application No.
09/889,171

Applicant(s)
Potucek

Examiner
Michael E. Butler

Art Unit
3653

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Michael E. Butler

(3) Adesh Bhargava

(2) Khoi Tran

(4) _____

Date of Interview Jun 15, 2004

Type: a) ☐ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference
c) ☒ Personal [copy is given to 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☒ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No. If yes, brief description:

Claim(s) discussed: 1

Identification of prior art discussed:

Zimmer, Webb et al, Nakashima

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☐ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments:

112 2d issues - step like could indefiniteness rejection can be overcome with substitution of "vertical height transition(s)", changing the target to move relative the sensor rather than ~~general~~ causing changes on the target would resolve that issue.

Calculating a centerpoint from the vertical changes could distinguish over the rejections.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

i) ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview (if box is checked).

Unless the paragraph above has been checked, THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached

Michael E. Butler

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

Examiner's signature, if required